

PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REQUIREMENTS

for WASTEWATER SRF Projects

The **PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING REPORT** (**PER**) is a document that provides the information necessary for the State Revolving Fund Loan Program (SRF) to determine the technical, economic and environmental adequacy of the proposed treatment works &/or collection system project. **SRF Staff** *may request additional information to complete a* **PER**.

This document is based on the State Revolving Fund Loan Program Guidance in effect on August 8, 2006. Because the requirements for SRF projects are subject to change, you should contact SRF Staff before submitting your PER and application to be sure that you are complying with current requirements. All applications will be reviewed in accordance with the provisions of IC 13-18-13. Approval of a PER by the SRF Section is for planning purposes only and SRF does not relieve the Participant of its responsibility to properly design, build and effectively operate and maintain the proposed facilities.

- * ALL CORRESPONDENCE and PER REVISIONS MUST BE DATED, 3-HOLE PUNCHED, & TRANSMITTED BY THE AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE
- * SUBMIT 3 COPIES OF THE PER IN 3-RING BINDERS TO:
 SHELLEY LOVE
 SRF WW PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR
 STATE REVOLVING FUND LOAN PROGRAM
 100 N. SENATE AVE. RM. 1275
 INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204
- * INCLUDE GRAPHS/TABLES WHERE APPLICABLE See ATTACHMENTS following the document.
- * INCLUDE A TABLE OF CONTENTS, LIST OF GRAPHICS, LIST OF TABLES & LIST OF APPENDICES
- * Access http://www.in.gov/ifa/srf/ for guidance under Wastewater Documents

PREFACE Briefly describe the Project NEED and SCOPE and ENVIRONMENTAL

BENEFITS. The project must address an existing water pollution

abatement need.

CHAPTER 1 PROJECT LOCATION

- * Describe the Study Area, the existing and 20-year Service Areas, and Project Area(s)/locations(s).
- * Identify the USGS Quadrangle map(s) and Section(s), Township(s) line(s) and Range(s) lines involved.
- * Provide **a map(s)** (*USGS Quadrangle*) displaying:
 - 1. Study area
 - 2. Existing & 20-year service areas
 - 3. Project area(s)/location(s) (proposed WWTP sites, line routings, lift stations, etc.)
- * Provide a description of the project area/location/route
- * Include a statement indicating whether the entire project is being constructed within the city/county/town's right-of-way or easements. If it is not, the participant will need to provide evidence that it has, or will have by a mutually agreeable date, the required property rights prior to SRF's issuance of bid authorization.

Note: All GRAPHICS except schematics must display North arrow & Bar Scale

CHAPTER 2 CURRENT SITUATION

- * Describe the *existing* Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) & Collection System *including* age & upgrades.
- * Provide Layouts/Site **maps** of existing Collection System, WWTP or other applicable site(s), where applicable.
- * Provide a description of the current condition of facilities (if applicable), current pollutant loadings and flows in order to establish the *project need to abate existing water pollution*.
- * Document operating problems/failures of properly constructed & maintained *on-site systems* based on:
 - 1. *Direct* evidence of water pollution or public health hazards (such as ponding, well contamination, direct discharges, etc.)
 - 2. *Indirect* evidence establishing need/failure (such as soil type, terrain, lot size, etc.)
 - 3. Letter from County Sanitarian
- * Collection Systems problems/needs
 - 1. Chronic operational problems
 - a. Surcharging
 - b. Surface ponding
 - c. Basement back-ups
 - d. Unauthorized overflows/bypasses, etc.
 - 2. Rehabilitation/Replacement needs
 - a. Broken/collapsed sewers
 - b. Inadequate capacity of pipes/interceptors/lift stations
 - c. Facilities exceeding useful life
 - 3. Document:
 - a. Sewer Ban Early Warning Letter
 - b. Sewer Ban Notification
 - c. Agreed Order (signed/pending)
 - d. Consent Decree
 - e. Other
 - 4. Indiana CSO Strategy requirements:
 - a. 9 minimum controls
 - b. Long-Term Control Plan
- * WWTP problems/needs
 - 1. Chronic operational problems
 - a. Hydraulic &/or Organic Overloading
 - b. Solids Washout
 - c. NPDES Permit Violations
 - d. Unauthorized overflows/bypasses, etc
 - e. Other

continued

- 2. Renovation/Replacement/Upgrade/Expansion
 - a. Facilities exceeding useful life
 - b. New NPDES Permit Limits
 - c. CSO Requirements
 - d. Other
- 3. Document:
 - a. Notice of Violation (NOV)
 - b. Warning of Non-Compliance (WONC)
 - c. Agreed Order (AO) [signed/pending]
 - d. New NPDES Requirements [w/Schedule of Compliance]
 - e. Sewer Ban Early Warning or Sewer Ban Notification
- * Sludge Handling & Disposal problems/needs
 - 1. Federal 40 CFR Part 503 Sludge Regulations
 - 2. NPDES Requirements
 - 3. Land Application Permit Requirements
 - 4. Facilities exceeding useful life
- * Provide tables for Current Flows & Wasteloads (*Refer to* **Tables I, II, III),** which include:
 - average design flow (mgd or gpd)
 - peaking factor
 - peak design flow (mgd or gpd)
 - peak sustained infiltration
 - peak hourly inflow/wet weather infiltration
 - wasteload concentrations
 - wasteload pounds
- * Significant contributors
 - 1. Commercial
 - 2. Industrial
 - 3. Institutional (schools, jails, hospitals, etc.)
 - 4. Semi-publics
 - 5. State/other facilities

NOTE: <u>Certify</u> that the existing wastewater collection & treatment system has and will have during the 20-year study period, adequate capacity to transport & treat all wastewater flows generated from the service areas (except for permitted CSOs, which should be addressed under the Indiana CSO strategy) without surcharges, bypasses, basement back-ups, or other chronic operational problems.

If the participant <u>cannot certify</u>, then the proposed project should address known problem areas; otherwise, the participant must conduct appropriate **sewer studies** in order to identify and address the problems. The **PER** should include information on the sewer studies done (what was done, where, when, why, what was found), including the recommendations and anticipated results (in terms of residual I/I). <u>SRF does NOT need copies of the actual sewer studies.</u>

CHAPTER 3 FUTURE SITUATION

- * Current Population
- * Population Projections (20-year) w/explanation for reasonable growth, based upon:
 - 1. Census data
 - 2. Building permits
 - 3. Current development trends
 - 4. Active Regional Planning Commission; if applicable
 - 5. Other
- * Tables for proposed (Refer to **Tables IV & V**)
 - 1. Design (20-year) flows
 - a. Domestic
 - b. Commercial/Institutional
 - c. Industrial
 - d. Peak sustained or residual infiltration
 - e. Average design flow (mgd or gpd)
 - f. Peaking factor
 - g. Peak hourly or Residual peak hourly Inflow/Wet weather infiltration
 - h. Peak design flow (mgd or gpd)
 - 2. Wasteloads
 - a. Concentrations
 - b. Pounds
- * Proposed WWTP effluent limits based on:
 - 1. Design flows
 - 2. NPDES Permit (*Contact* Municipal/NPDES Permit Section Chief @ 317/232-8670)
 - 3. Receiving Stream
 - 4. Wasteload Allocation (WLA)
- * Evaluation of ability to transport & treat all flows (*except* permitted overflows)

CHAPTER 4 EVALUATION of ALTERNATIVES

- * Identify a couple of *feasible* alternatives
- * Description of alternatives considered, *including*:
 - 1. No action
 - 2. Optimum operation/integration of existing facility
 - 3. Collection System Rehabilitation/Replacement
 - 4. New Collection System/Interceptor routes and alternative routes
 - 5. WWTP
 - a. Upgrade/Expansion
 - b. Regionalization potential
 - c. Alternative WWTP sites
 - 6. New WWTP
 - a. Regionalization potential
 - b. Alternative WWTP sites
 - c. Treatment alternatives
 - 7. Sludge Handling & Disposal Alternatives
 - 8. Phasing
- * Rationale for selection of Recommended Alternative
 - 1. Monetary
 - 2. Technical
 - 3. Reliability
 - 4. Implementability
 - 5. Environmental Impacts

CHAPTER 5 EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

To avoid comments, follow the text and graphics guidance provided at the Project Planning Meeting

- * Discuss *NEGATIVE IMPACTS* only. Please be clear, concise & complete.
- * **Note:** Projects which propose treatment capacity increases or new upsized lines must include the "Induced Impacts" language provided in the <u>SRF Environmental</u> Evaluation Section: Procedures & Language guidance.
- * The PER **must** discuss <u>direct</u> (primary impacts due to construction, operation & maintenance of the treatment/collection system) and <u>indirect</u> (secondary or induced impacts made possible by the project) impacts of the feasible alternatives (including the no-action alternative) on:
 - 1. Disturbed/Undisturbed Land (provide soils maps only if in undisturbed land)
 - 2. Historic/Architectural Resources (provide Interim Report maps, if available)
 - 3. Wetlands (provide wetland maps [not from federal internet mappers])
 - 4. Surface waters (provide wetland and/or topographic maps)
 - a. Natural, Scenic and Recreational Rivers and Streams (312 IAC 7-2)
 - b. Waters of High Quality; [327 IAC 2-1-2(3)]
 - c. Exceptional Use Streams; [327 IAC 2-1-11(b)]
 - d. streams, rivers, lakes
 - e. label stream crossings on a map
 - 5. Groundwater
 - a. impact to local wells and water table
 - b. SRF will supply a map of the St. Joseph aquifer area for use in the PER, if necessary (for projects in far north central IN)
 - 6. 100-year floodplain (provide FEMA or other floodplain maps, if available)
 - a. Cannot be used for borrow or fill w/o DNR approval
 - b. Operability & Accessibility of the facilities during 100-year floods
 - 7. Plants and Animals
 - a. streams, wetlands, wooded and scrub/shrub areas
 - b. no need to research endangered species records
 - 8. Prime Farmland Impacts and Influence of Local Geology
 - a. The consultant will initiate and complete the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form process for all SRF projects which will turn dirt to install

- anything. State whether or not the project will affect prime/unique farmland.
- b. Discuss the influence, if any, of karst and bedrock areas on the project
- 9. Air Quality
- 10. Open Space and Recreational Opportunities
- 11. Lake Michigan Coastal Management Zone Impacts (applies only to projects in the north part of Lake, Porter and LaPorte counties; SRF will supply a map of the IDNR Coastal Zone Program Area for use in the PER).
- 12. National Natural Landmarks Impacts (see http://www.nature.nps.gov/nnl/Registry/USA_Map/States/Indiana/indiana.htm)
- 13. Mitigation Measures to avoid negative impacts (such as erosion into nearby waterways or wetlands, air pollution, growth, odors, etc.) of project construction and implementation.
- * Further environmental review will be necessary (1) if work on an SRF-approved project still remains to be done and more that 5 years have passed since PER approval, (2) if additional work is proposed after that time, or (3) if additional work is proposed within the 5-year period in areas not vetted previously.

CHAPTER 6 SELECTED PLAN

- * Describe the Selected Plan components & processes
- * Discuss Phasing (if applicable)
- * Include a completed *Preliminary Design Summary*
- * Provide Schematics/Layouts/Maps/Design flow train of the proposed project or selected plan, *including* North arrow & bar scale (*not necessary* for schematics).
- * Provide the *Project Component Costs* (refer to **Table VI**) and the Selected Plan Cost (refer to **Table VII**).
- * Include a Project Schedule/Milestone dates for:
 - 1. PER Submittal
 - 2. Anticipated PER approval
 - 3. Plans & Specs submittal
 - 4. Plans & Specs approval
 - 5. Land and easement acquisition
 - 6. Advertise for Bids
 - 7. Loan closing (after bids are received for subsidized loans)
 - 8. Contract Award
 - 9. Initiation of construction
 - 10. Substantial completion of construction
 - 11. Initiation of operation
- * Discuss Contract operations
 - 1. Operation and/or Lab work
 - 2. Land application
 - 3. Landfilling
 - 4. Other

CHAPTER 7 LEGAL, FINANCIAL & MANAGERIAL CAPABILITIES

- * Include the 2 required **Resolutions** (*refer to* **ATTACHMENTS A** & **B**):
 - 1. Authorized Representative
 - 2. PER Acceptance
- * Include the completed SRF Project Cost/Financing Information Form Table VIII
- * Include Letter(s) of intent from:
 - 1. Land/easement owners
 - 2. Significant flow/wasteload contributors
 - 3. Contract operators
- * Include Inter-local Governmental Agreement and/or Contracts or intent to obtain either. SRF Loan Program can not close on a loan until the Inter-Local Government Agreement or Contract between the affected parties is signed and executed.

CHAPTER 8 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

- * Include a copy of the Publisher's Affidavit from the newspaper with the Public Hearing notice.
- * Notify contract customer and/or significant flow/wasteload contributors or rate payers.
- * Have completed PER available for public review 10 days prior to Public Hearing.
- * Include a Sign-in sheet showing who attended the Public Hearing.
- * Include either meeting minutes or a Transcript of the Public Hearing.
- * Include *all written comments* submitted by the public, including comments submitted during the public hearing and during the 5-day period following the hearing. <u>Also</u> include any *response* to comments provided by or on behalf of the Participant.
- * Provide prepared, self-sticking **Mailing Labels** for:
 - 1. Interested parties (those individuals, industries, groups, organizations which demonstrated an interest in receiving copies of the Environmental Assessment/Finding of No Significant Impact). Be sure to include everyone who attended the public hearing.
 - 2. County Drainage Board
 - 3. County Health Department
 - 4. Active Regional Planning Commission for the planning area
 - 5. Local media outlets (newspaper, radio, or t.v. station)
 - 6. Customer Communities

ATTACHMENTS

Resolutions

- A. Authorized Representative *Model*
- B. PER Acceptance Model

Tables

- I. EXISTING WW FLOWS OF SEWERED & UNSEWERED COMMUNITIES MODEL
- II. CURRENT TREATMENT PLANT OPERATION MODEL
- III. EST. INFLUENT STRENGTH & LOADINGS MODEL
- IV. DESIGN TREATMENT PLANT FLOWS MODEL
- V. DESIGN TREATMENT PLANT LOADINGS MODEL
- VI. EST. CONSTRUCTION COSTS of the SELECTED ALTERNATIVE MODEL
- VII. SELECTED PLAN COST SUMMARY MODEL
- VIII. SRF PROJECT FINANCING INFORMATION MODEL

MODEL AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE RESOLUTION

WHEREAS,	the (PARTICI	<u>PANT)</u> of	, Indiana, herein called
	, has pla	nns for a municipal wa	ter pollution control project to meet State and
Federal reg	gulations, such	as the NPDES dischar	rge limitations, and the community intends to
proceed wi	th the construc	ction of such works:	
_			
WH	EREAS, the <u>(PA</u>	RTICIPANT) has ad	opted this Resolution dated
	•		
•			
	· ·	BE IT RESOLVED by the	e Council/Board, the governing body of said
	, that:		
1.		ho :	authorized to make application for an SRF
1.	Loan and n		lying Fund Loan Program such information,
	-		the loan process as may be required, and
		•	epresentative of the community.
			r
2.	The commu	inity agrees to comply	with the Indiana Finance Authority, State of
	Indiana and	d Federal requirement	ts as they pertain to the SRF.
_			
3.		-	be prepared and submitted as part of the
	community	's Preliminary Engine	ering Report.
A DC	ODTED this	day of	2008
ADC	or ted this	uay or	
	THE (PAR'	FICIPANT) OF	, Indiana
			NCIL/BOARD OF TRUSTEES
AUTHORIZE	ED SIGNATORY		
		_	
		By:	
			
		A mme am	

B. MODEL PER ACCEPTANCE RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the (PA	ARTICIPANT) of	County, Indiana, has ca	iused a
Preliminary Engineering	g Report, PER, dated	, to be prepar	ed by the
consulting firm of			•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ER has been presented to t	the public at a public hearing ments; and	held
		ouncil finds that there was no ed project in the Preliminary	
Now, Therefore	E BE IT RESOLVED THAT:		
		liminary Engineering Report	dated
	be approved and a	dopted by the	
(<u>PARTICIPANT</u> '	<u>'s</u>)Board/Council; and		
That said PER be and approval.	e submitted to the State Rev	volving Fund Loan Program	for review
_	ted by the (<u>PARTICIPANT</u> neir regularly scheduled me	<u>''s</u>) Board/Council thiseeting.	day of
President/Mayor			
Member			
Member			
Member			
A ttoat.			

TABLE I

MODEL FOR EXISTING WASTEWATER FLOWS (in gallons per day) OF SEWERED \underline{AND} UNSEWERED COMMUNITIES

	Average Design Flow (gpd)	Peak Design Flow (gpd)
Do	omestic ¹ (D)	Peak DCI (Total DCI X Peaking Factor) ⁴
	ommercial/ stitutional ¹ (C)	Peak Hourly Inflow &/or
Ind	dustrial ¹ (I)	Wet Weather Infiltration ⁵ Peak Hourly Flow
To	<u>tal DCI</u>	
	ak Sustained nfiltration ²	
T(OTAL EXISTING FLOW ³	
1.	DCI flows must be based upon actual w	vater use records where possible. Flows may be estimated by one of the following methods:
	a) <u>Billing records</u> for the most red	cent 24 months (less 10-20 % consumption) are to be used whenever available;
	 b) When billing records are unaveraged months are to be used; 	ailable, pumped water volumes (less 20-40 % consumption and losses) for the most recent 12
	c) In communities (or portions th	ereof) without a water supply system, use 310 gpd/connection or 100 gpcpd.
2.	consecutive days) and taking the average	st recent MRO's (24 months) during a high groundwater non-rainfall day period (preferably 7-14 ge followed by subtracting the average DCI (sewered communities only). For unsewered d on 200 gpidm (Conventional Gravity Sewers).
3.	Total DCI + Peak Sustained Infiltration	
4.	System Peaking Factor (check which ap	oplies)
	a) Measured from hourly flow data	(the preferred method for existing conventional gravity sewers)
		dards (Conventional Gravity Only)
5.	2. Flo	Yes or NA w meter calibrated ws appear accurate sed on subtracting the dry weather peak flows from the influent peak flow including
	all	bypassed flows. If this information is not available verify if the peak hourly flow ca

TABLE II

MODEL FOR CURRENT TREATMENT PLANT OPERATION

	Concentration mg/l	Daily Load lbs	
INFLUENT			
CBOD5			
TSS			
NH3-N	· 		
P			
Other			
EFFLUENT			
CBOD5			
TSS			
NH3-N			
P			
Total Residual Cl			
DO			
Other			
			
			
page # or NA			
	Above values are	derived from the 24 most recent consecutive MR	Os &/or DMRs
	(dates of MROs:	
	(lates of DMRs:	

TABLE III

Conventional Gravity, Pressure, Vacuum Sewers

	Concentration (mg/l)			Daily Load (lb)		
	D	C	I	D	C I	
CBOD ₅	/	/	/ .	/	/	/
TSS	/	/	/ _	/	/	/
NH ₃ -N	/	/	/	/	/	/
P	/	/	/ _	/	/	/
Source(s) of Data:						
Domestic (D)						
Commercial/Ins	stitutional (C)					
Industrial (I)						

TABLE IV

MODEL FOR DESIGN TREATMENT PLANT FLOWS (gpd or mgd)

Domestic (D)		
Commercial/		
Institutional (C)		
Industrial (I)		
Total DCI		
+ Residual		
Infiltration		
AVG. DESIGN FLOW		
Peak DCI		(peaking factor =)
Residual Infiltration		
Residual Peak Hourly	Inflow	
&/or Wet Weather In	filtration	
PEAK DESIGN FLO	OW	

TABLE V

MODEL FOR DESIGN TREATMENT PLANT LOADINGS

	Concentration (mg/l)	Daily Load (lb)
Influent CBOD5		
TSS		
NH3-N		
P		
Other		

TABLE VI ${\tt ESTIMATED\ CONSTRUCTION\ COSTS\ OF\ THE\ SELECTED\ ALTERNATIVE\ {\it MODEL} }$

Alternative:			
Item	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
1)			
2)			
3)			
4)			
5)			
6)			
7)			
8)			
9)			
10)			
		Total Construction	Cost

MODEL SELECTED PLAN COST SUMMARY

Item	Fotal Cost
Non-Construction Costs	
Administrative and Legal	
* Land & Rights-of-way Acquisition	
Relocation	
Engineering Fees Design	
Construction	
Other	
Project Inspection	
Costs Related to Plant Start-up	
Non-Construction Subtotal	
Construction and Equipment Subtotal	
Contingencies (not to exceed 10%)	
TOTAL PROJECT COST	

^{*} Ineligible for SRF unless it represents administrative costs to acquire easements and/or land. Land may be eligible if it is an integral part of the treatment process.

TABLE VIII

SRF PROJECT FINANCING INFORMATION

(Wastewater)

1. P	roject Cost Summary
a	. Collection/transport system cost
b	. Treatment System cost
c	Non-Point-Source (NPS) cost (septic tank removal)
	Subtotal Construction Cost
d.	Capacity Reservation Fees
e.	Contingencies
	(should not exceed 10% of construction cost)
f.	Non-construction Cost
	e.g., engineering/design services, field exploration studies, project management & construction inspection, legal & administrative services, land costs (including capitalized costs of leased lands, ROWs, & easements), start-up costs (e.g., O&M manual, operator training).
٤	g. Total Project Cost (lines a+b+c+d+e+f)
ŀ	n. Total ineligible SRF costs* (see next page)
	* Total ineligible SRF costs will not be covered by the SRF loan.
i	. Other funding sources (list other grant/loan sources & amounts)
	(1) Local Funds (hook-on fees, connection fees, capacity fees, etc.)
	(2) Cash on hand
	(3) Community Development Block Grant - Community Focus Fund (CFF)
	(4) US Dept. of Agriculture Rural Development (RD)
	(5) Other
	Total Other Funding Sources
2. SR	F Loan Amount (line g minus line item h+i*)
	* If there are adequate funds available under (i) to cover (h) then subtract (i) only.
3. Fina	ancial Advisor
г	a. Firm
t	o. Name
C	e. Phone Number
4. Bon	nd Counsel
г	n. Firm
t	o. Name
	Phone Number

1. Land cost (unless it's for sludge application) Only the actual cost of the land is **not eligible**; associated costs (such as attorney's fees, site title opinion and the like) are eligible. 2. Materials & work done on private property (Installation/repair of laterals, including disconnection of inflow into laterals; abandonment of on-site systems [septic tank or mound systems]). Grinder pumps, vacuum stations and other appurtenances/installations on private property to treat/transport ARE fundable IF owned and maintained by the participant. 3. Grant applications and income surveys done for other agencies (e.g., OCRA, RUS, etc.). Any project solely designed to promote economic development and growth 4. is ineligible. 5. Costs incurred for preparing NPDES permit applications and other tasks unrelated to the SRF project. Cleaning of equipment, such as digesters, sand filters, grit tanks and settling tanks. These items should have been maintained through routine operation, maintenance and replacement by the political subdivision. Sewer cleaning is **ineligible** for SRF unless the cleaning is required for sewer rehabilitation such as sliplining and cured in place piping (CIPP) \$

The following costs are *not eligible* for SRF reimbursement: